UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,539	05/26/2005	Jurgen Schmidt	PD020111	5066
	7590 08/02/201 d, Patent Operations	0	EXAMINER	
THOMSON Lie			MCCORD, PAUL C	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/536,539	SCHMIDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PAUL MCCORD	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ju</u>	dv 2010					
'=	/ -					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Page No(2)/Mail Pate						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/536,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/536,539 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

4. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farhangi et al. (US Patent 5647008 hereinafter Far) further in view of Smith et al. (US Patent 7212872 hereinafter Smith)

5. Regarding claim 1:

Far teaches:

A digital mixer functional to combine received audio bitstreams of various channel number and configuration to digitally merge the channels and produce a desired output. (Far: Column 3, lines 9-60; Fig 2: mixer combines 5.1 signals such as MPEG, stereo in the form of at least an encoded analog cd signal or standard AES/EBU signal, and an encoded microphone signal) The disclosed formats convey configuration information attached by a broadcaster or content provider in the form of the provider of a CD or MPEG audio stream (Far: Fig 2: 205, 207) relevant to at least channel configuration in the form of bit depth and sample rate to signal de-formatters functional to digitally extract raw audio data. (Far: Column 3, lines 9-60; Fig 2) Content formatting provided in at least the form of a target sampling frequency or preferred channel configuration, disclosed as a CD sampling frequency, allows content providers of CD audio to provide mixing information to the digital mixer in the form of at least a CD sampling frequency. (Far: Col 5, 1. 37-60; Fig 5) Deformatting and interpolation of a variety of incoming signals (Far: Fig 2, deformatters 240, 242, 244 ... 248, interpolators 250, 252, 254) functions to resolve different channel configuration conflicts in a manner suitable for consistent processing by a digital mixer (Far: Fig 2: digital mixer 277). The Far digital mixer reformats the mixed output through a formatter. (Far: Fig 2)

Application/Control Number: 10/536,539

Far does not specify that each decoded audio signal comprises a different number of channels with different channel configuration items nor does Far explicitly teach the attaching of updated channel configuration items to the audio output by the formatter.

In a related field of endeavor Smith teaches:

A multichannel audio formatting system and method wherein at least two incoming multichannel audio streams are additively mixed or switched (Smith: Abstract: Fig 12: a 5.1 channel audio stream is selectively added to additional at least LCR audio and reformatted for output) channel configuration information bits are updated and attached by a frame formatter functional to extend a signal mapped to speakers in a 5.1 configuration information and audio data to a 6.1 or higher speaker map by appending extension bits. (Smith: Column 10, lines 34-67) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include selectively mixing plural multichannel streams and attaching updated channel configuration information to the mixed stream as taught or suggested by Smith to the mixed MPEG and AES streams of the Far system and method. The average skilled practitioner would have expected predictable results from such a combination of known elements.

- 6. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Far further in view of Smith as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Saunders et al. (US Patent 7266501.)
- 7. Regarding claim 2:

Far in view of Smith does not disclose producing multichannel audio output with an MPEG-4 format header.

Art Unit: 2614

In a related field of endeavor Saunders teaches:

An audio system functional to compress the output of an audio mixer by a CODEC inclusive of MPEG-4, based on the downstream device application to produce a compressed mixed digital master suitable for decoding by a downstream device.

(Saunders: Col 6, 1. 38-50; Col 8, 1. 19-50) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include updating the Far in view of Smith mixed digital audio output with updated configuration data in the form of the Saunders taught MPEG-4 CODEC. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected predictable results from such a combination.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1, 2 have been considered but are not persuasive. Particularly, applicant argues that in both Far and Smith, there is no channel/configuration conflict at the receiver side and thus there is no teaching or suggestion to resolve conflict. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Far provides deformatters specifically to prevent channel configuration conflicts. Broadcasters or content providers each encode their product at least in a manner consistent with a codec or mode of transmission (Incoming MPEG stream 207 can not be expected to share a format, channel configuration or channel number with a CD or encoded microphone signal. Thus conversion or de-formatting from the native state in which the content provider has encoded the signal into a raw audio data format is a form of conflict resolution. A digital mixer (such as Far: digital mixer 277) combines equal length digital words corresponding to a shared clock instant (Far: Col 4, 1, 41-45); the Far invention teaches or

Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

suggest a manner in which the various conflicting signals are resolved into equal length similarly clocked signals. Smith teaches one manner in which attached channel mapping information can be reformatted. Thus a conflict in the form of non-matching signals comprising non matching channel numbers and channel configurations is resolved by Far in view of Smith and the claims are not allowable.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (Please see form PTO-892.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL MCCORD whose telephone number is (571)270-3701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,539

Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Suhan Ni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614